Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Supervisor's Report on Use of Force Page 1 of 15

					inc	ident Inform	ation					
	URN: 0 1 9	- 18	8 6 6 -	2 1 3	3 1 -	0 5 8	Date:	11/26	3/19	Time:	1	1830
	Location:		Alaba	ama Stre	eet 🔣	Cit	ty or Static	on:		Los An	geles	
	Bureau/Station/	Facility:	(Central I	Patrol /	Century Sta	tion		Admin, Inve	estigation	n: O Y	ES NO
	Type of Force:	Cnt Hld(Cnt Tch, 1	kdwn),	Psnl W	pn (Hand), F	Retraint	Device(Handcuff	s), Tas	er	
	Incident Catego	ry: O	1	O3		Deputy Inju	ıry: 🔘	YES (NO Sus	pect Inju	ry 📵	YES O NO
	⊠ Call			bservation	1	☐ De	etail		Foot Pure	suit	☐ Vehi	cle Pursuit
	IAB Notified:	YES ON	O Person	Notified:	A/Lt. N	lichael Maxv	well En	np:		IAB Roll	Out O	YES (NC
	Employee #				In	volved Employ					tial all a l	Deals
<u> 1</u>	E MANAGE #	Last Name		tierrez		FIRST	Name	David		IV.	liddle I. J.	Rank DSG
	Sex:	Race:	Height: 507	Weight: 170	Age:	Shift:	() Day	● PM	(Regula	nr Shift (OT Shift	Off Duty
	Unit of Assignme		307	170	Work As	ssignment (Unit 1	Module i					
	_	entury Sta	tion			and the same			3E			
	Individual Force U						C Disserted	C P	e (Medica	at America		I Category
	Cnt H	ld(Cnt Tch	, i kdwn), F	'sni wpi	n (Hano	is)	Directed	(Resci	Je (Medica	II ASSISI	-	2 (3 er Case #
	X Injured X	Treated	Admitted	Facility:	Conce	entra, 2499 \	Milming	ton Ave	, Compto	n	001011	
2	Employee #	Lest Name	Can	npos III		First I	Name	Gabrie	4	M	liddle I. NMI	Rank DSG
7	Sex:	Race:	Height:	Weight:	Age:	Shift:						
	● M ○ F	Н	507	230		() EM	O Day	● PM	Regula	ar Shift (OT Shift	Off Duty
	Unit of Assignment:				Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.): 213E							
	Century Station Individual Force Used:					т Т		21	3E		Individua	l Calegory
	Cnt Hld(Cnt		Wpn(Hand	is),Rstm	nt Dvc(l	Handcuff)	Directed	Rescu	e (Medica	el Assist		02 03
	X Injured	Treated [Admitted	Facility:		N	ot treate	ed			Coron	er Case #
3	Employee	Last Name	Ro	throck		First I	Name	Ryan		M	fiddle I.	Rank B-1
-	Sex:	Race:	Height:	Weight:	Age:	Shift:	_					
	● M ○ F	Н	509	180		() EM	ОВу	● PM	Regula	ar Shift (OT Shift	Off Duty
	Unit of Assignme		.1		Work Ar	saignment (Unit #	t, Module,		00			
	Individual Force U	entury Sta	tion				_	21	3D		Individua	Category
	Cnt Hld(Cnt T		Vpn(Hand	s),Rst D	vs(Hnd	cuf),Taser	Directed	(Rescu	e (Medica	al Assist		2 03
	Injured	Treated	Admitted	Facility:							Coron	er Case #
- 1		Treated L	Admitted	raciity,		Duty Superv	SOF			X Ad	Strinnal lines	lived Employee
	Emp,#	Last Name		ı	First Name			Middle I.	Rank	Present	Witn	ess to Inciden
			Reyes		Supervis	Sergio or Completing	Investio	R.	Sgt. YE	s () NO	YES	O NO O
	Emp. #	Last Name	Reves		First Name			Middle I. R.	Rank Sgt. YE	Present S () NO	_	ess to Inciden
						nander / Super	rvising Li	eutenant		3 O NO	O TES	O NO G
	Emp.	Last Name	Allen		First Name	Brian		Middle I. K.	Rank Lt.			
		Brian K. Al	llen, Lieut	enant	Tin. H	111. 02/	15/20					
	Watch Comman	der / Supervi	sing Lieuten	ant's Sign	hature:	Da	ate	Copy F	rovided to	Emplo	yee by:	Emp #:
		A. Carter,			9/	M)					2/25/2
	Unit Command Discove FO#	er (Print Na RY Use Only	ame)		PPI RE	Unit Comme VIEW COM			: Original: Di Copy: Unit (scovery U		CHIS (V)
											1	HOTE

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force INVOLVED EMPLOYEE - Continuation

0 1 9 - 1 8 8 6 6 - 2 1 3 1 - 0 5 8

Page 2 of 15

Involved Employee Last Name First Name Weight: Shift: Race: Height: OEM ODay OPM Regular Shift () OT Shift () Off Duty ● M ○ F H 195 511 Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.): Unit of Assignment: 213D Century Station Individual Category Individual Force Used: C Directed C Rescue C Medical Assist O1 @2 Control Holds (Control Techniques), Taser ()3 Coroner Case # X Injured Treated Admitted Not treated Facility: Employee # Last Name First Name Middle I. Rank Race: Sex: Height: Weight: OEM ODay OPM Regular Shift OT Shift Off Duty OM OF Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.): Unit of Assignment: Individual Category Individual Force Used: C Directed Rescue Medical Assist O1 O2 Coroner Case # Injured Treated Admitted Facility: Employee # First Name Middle I. Last Name Weight: Sex: Race: Height Shift: Regular Shift O OT Shift O Off Duty OEM ODay OPM OM OF Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.): Unit of Assignment: Individual Force Used: Individual Category C Directed C Rescue C Medical Assist O1 O2 Coroner Case # Injured Treated Admitted Facility: Employee # Middle I. l ast Name First Name Rank Weight: Height: Race: OEM ODay OPM Regular Shift OT Shift Off Duty OM OF Unit of Assignment: Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.): Individual Category Individual Force Used: C Directed (Rescue (Medical Assist O1 O2Coroner Case # Injured Treated Admitted Facility: Employee # Middle I. Rank Last Name First Name E Weight: Race: Height OEM ODay OPM Regular Shift OT Shift Off Duty OM OF Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.): Unit of Assignment: Individual Category Individual Force Used: C Directed C Rescue C Medical Assist $\bigcirc 1 \bigcirc 2$ Coroner Case # ___ Treated Admitted Injured Facility:

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force SUSPECT INFORMATION

019-18866-2131-058

Page 3 of 15

				Suspe	ct Informatio	n					
S 1	Last Name		First Name	Tomas		Middle Name	Armed?				
_	Lopez AKA Last Name		*****	Tomas First	Name	Venega	Middle Name				
	Sex: Male Female	Race: Age:	Height:	Weight	D.O.B: 08/12/92	Phone #1: O H (OW OC Phone	#2: OH OW OC			
	Street Address:	n 21	505	155	City:		State & Zip (Code:			
	Booking #: 5809051	Primary Ch	arge Code:	243(c)(1) PC Secon	dary Charge Code:	69 PC	Criminal History			
	Treated on Scene? YE	S ONO	Name: Re	fused tre	eatment	Unit: Engine	9 41 Phone #:	323-564-6241			
		Rec'd Treatme		ILK Hos		oroner Case #:	Mental I	- Camer Greeners on the city			
	By: Doctor Christop	her Major	Address: 16	380 E. 12	20th Street,	Los Angeles 9	0059 Phone #:	424-338-8000			
	Under Influence: YES	O NO	Substance:		ant	5150 a factor in force? YES NO direction on this o					
	Date: 11/26/19 Tim	ne: 2049	Audio	_	Videotape:		of Injuries:	ADMITS HEARING ANNOUNCEMENTS			
S_	Last Name		First Name	Susp	ect Informati	On Middle Name	Armed?	Select			
	AKA Last Name		****	First	Name	2 1879	Middle Name)			
	Sex:	Race: Age:	Height:	D.O.B.	Weight:	Phone #1: O H	O W O C Phone	#2: OHOW OC			
	Street Address:				City:		State & Zip (Code:			
	Booking #:	arge Code:	te: Secondary Charge Code:				Criminal History				
	Treated on Scene? YES NO By:				Unit: Phone #:						
	Hospital Admission?	Rec'd Treatme	nt At:		Coroner Case #: Mental History User's guide provides direction on this entry Phone #:						
	Ву:		Address:								
	Under Influence: YES NO Substance:				uncer imervi	NO descritors on this entry					
	Date: Tim	10:	Audio		Videotape:	Photos o	f Injuries:	ADMITS HEARING ANNOUNCEMENTS			
s_	Last Name		First Name	Suspe		Middle Name	Armed?	Select			
	AKA Last Name			First	Name		Middle Name				
	Sex: Female	Race: Age:	Height:	D.O.B.	Weight:	Phone #1: O H		#2: OHOWOC			
	Street Address:	•			City:		State & Zip (Code:			
	Booking #:	ooking #: Primary Charge Code:				Secondary Charge Code: Criminal History					
	Treated on Scene? YE	Treated on Scene? OYES ONO By:					Unit: Phone #:				
	Hospital Admission? R	Rec'd Treatme	nt At:		C	oroner Case #:	Mental I	History Lear's guide provides direction on this entry			
	Ву:		Address:				Phone #:				
	Under Influence: YES	O NO	Substance:	**/******************	(uspec in)es)		or In force? O YES	NO User's guide provides direction on this entry			
	Date: Tim	ne:	Audio		Videotape:		f Injuries:	ADMITS HEARING ANNOUNCEMENTS			

SH-R-438P (Rev. 91/13)

Additional Suspects Involved

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force EMPLOYEE / NON-EMPLOYEE INFORMATION

0 1 9 - 1 8 8 6 6 - 2 1 3 1 - 0 5 8

Page 4 of 15

			Employee Witnes	ses	- Y-Y-				
Emp. #	Last Name		First Name		Middle N	Vame			
Unit of Assignmen	E	Work Assignment	(Unit #, Module, etc.):	Shift:	OPM OR	legular (OT Off Duty		
Emp. #	Last Name		First Name			Middle Name			
Unit of Assignmen	:	Work Assignment	(Unit #, Module, etc.):	Shift:	OPM OR	egular (OT Off Duty		
Emp. #	Last Name		First Name	0 - 0 - 0	Middle I				
Unit of Assignment	1:	Work Assignment	(Unit #, Module, etc.):	Shift: O EM O Day	OPM OR	equiar (OT Off Duty		
			Non-Employee Witn	and the second					
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		Age 60	D.O.B.		
Street Address	1010		City	Zip Cod	le Phone		Phone #2		
							1		
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		Age 37	D.O.B.		
Street Address			City	Zip Cod	e Phone #	¥1	Phone #2		
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		Age	D.O.B.		
Street Address	4.0		City	Zip Code	e Phone if	11	Phone #2		
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		Age	D.O.8.		
Street Address			City	Zip Code	Phone #	1	Phone #2		
Last Name		First Name	'	Middle Name		Age	D.O.B.		
Street Address			City	Zip Code	Phone #	1 F	hone #2		
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		Age	D.O.B.		
Street Address			City	Zip Code	Phone #	1 F	hone #2		
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		Age	D.O.B.		
Street Address		-	City	Zip Code	Phone #	1	hone #2		
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		Age	D.O.B.		
Street Address			City	Zip Code	Phone #1	P	hone #2		
Last Name		First Name	. 1	Middle Name	, I	Age	D.O.B.		
Street Address	66		City	Zip Code	Phone #1	P	hone #2		
					1	7	onal Witness		

BH-R-438P (Rev. 81/13)

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force 019-18866-2131-058

Page 5 of 15

Method

(AW) Arwen	(FH)	Firearm (Handgun)	(PO)	Personal Weapon (Other)
(BC) Baton: (Control)	(FR)	Firearm (Rifle)	(RS)	Resistance
(BI) Baton: (Impact)	(FS)	Firearm (Shotgun)	(RO)	Restraint Device (Other)
(BF) Bodily Fluids	(FO)	Firearm (Other)	(RH)	Restraint Device (Handcuffs)
(CN) Canine	(FB)	Flashbang	(HB)	Restraint Device: Hobble (Legs Only)
(CR) Carotid Restraint	(FL)	Flashlight	(TP)	Restraint Device: Hobble (TARP)
(CH) Choke Hold	(OE)	Other Weapon: Edged	(RE)	Restraint Device: REACT Belt
(CT) Control Hoids: (Control Techniques)	(OV)	Other Weapon: Vehicle	(SP)	Sap
(TT) Control Holds: (Team Takedown)	(OB)	Other Weapon: Blunt Object	(SH)	Shield
(TD) Control Holds: (Takedown)	(00)	Other Weapon: Other	(IR)	Less Lethal Impact Round (other)
(CE) Chemical	(PK)	Personal Weapon: Feet/Leg: (Kick)	(SB)	Sting Ball
(OC) Chemical Agents (OC Spray)	(PS)	Personal Weapon: Feet/Leg: (Sweep)	(ST)	Stun Bag
(TG) Chemical Agents (Tear Gas)	(PH)	Personal Weapon (Hand/Arm)	(TR)	Taser
(EX) Explosives	(PP	Personal Weapon (Push)	(UC)	Uncooperative
	-		(HA)	High Risk

Type of Injury					Boo	ly Part Invo	lved			
(AB) Abrasion	(DB)	Dog Bite	(PA)	Paralysis	(AD)	Abdomen	(FA)	Face	(HI)	Hip
(BR) Bruise	(FR)	Fractures	(PW)	Puncture Wound	(AK)	Ankle	(FE)	Feet	(IN)	Internal
(BU) Burn	(GS)	Gunshot	(SD)	Soft Tissue Damage	(AR)	Am	(FI)	Fingers	(KN)	Knees
(CP) Complaint of Pain	(HB)	Human Bite	(ST)	Sprain/Twists	(BK)	Back	(GE)	Genitals	(LE)	Leg
(CO) Concussion	(LC)	Lacerations	(UN)	Unconscious	(BT)	Buttocks	(GR)	Groin	(NK)	Neck
(DH) Death	(ND)	Nerve Damage	(RM)	Refused Med Treatment	(CH)	Chest	(HD)	Hands	(NO)	Nose
(DI) Dislocation	(OD)	Organ Damage	(NN)	NONE	(EL)	Elbow	(HE)	Head	(SH)	Shoulder
									(WR)	Wrist

FORCE USED BY		FORCE USED AGAINST			Type of	Body
Name	E# or S#	Name	E# or S#	Method (Code)	Injury (Code)	Part (Code)
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Campos	S#1	UC	NN	
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	HR		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	RS	SD	HD
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	PH		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Campos	E#2	RS	SD	HD
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Campos	E#2	HR		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Campos	E#2	PH		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Campos	E#2	PK		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Rothrock	E#3	RS		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Rothrock	E#3	HR		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Rothrock	E#3	PH		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Company	E#4	HR		
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy Deputy	E#4	RS	SD	HD
Suspect Lopez	S#1	Deputy 1998	E#4	PH		
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	CT	AB	CH
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	TD	BR	SH
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	TD	BR	BK
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	BR	FA
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	LC	FA
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	SD	FA
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	CR	BR	CH
Deputy Gutierrez	E#1	Suspect Lopez	S#1	CR	BR	NK
Deputy Campos	E#2	Suspect Lopez	S#1	CT	AB	CH
Deputy Campos	E#2	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	BR	FA
Deputy Campos	E#2	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	LC	FA
Deputy Campos	E#2	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	SD	FA
Deputy Campos	E#2	Suspect Lopez	S#1	RH		. , 1
Deputy Rothrock	E#3	Suspect Lopez	S#1	CT	AB	CH
Deputy Rothrock	E#3	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	BR	FA

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force 019-18866-2131-058

Page **6** of 15

Deputy Rothrock	E#3	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	LC	FA
Deputy Rothrock	E#3	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	SD	FA
Deputy Rothrock	E#3	Suspect Lopez	S#1	PH	FR	NO
Deputy Rothrock	E#3	Suspect Lopez	S#1	TR	PW	CH
Deputy Rothrock	E#3	Suspect Lopez	S#1	RH		
Deputy Deputy	E#4	Suspect Lopez	S#1	TR	PW	AD
Deputy	E#4	Suspect Lopez	S#1	TR	PW	СН
Deputy	E#4	Suspect Lopez	S#1	CT	AB	CH
						40

	-					-
		-				
n omenore		<u></u>				
COUNT DE						
						-
au.						
	-					
		(1/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/1				
- Annie Company						
1 THE OWN IN						
1000 HA						
N - 12 - 4		- 12 - 1311111				
		5-03-6-0		17700		
						**

Page 7 of 15

URN # 019-18866-2131-058

INCIDENT OVERVIEW

1. SCENE DESCRIPTION/LEGAL STANDING
✓ Call Observation Other:
Deputies responded to the location regarding a dispute. The informant's (the suspect) had entered the residence through a rear door, appeared to be under the influence of a controlled suspect, was possibly armed with a knife, and was refusing to leave. 2. THREAT, PERCEIVED THREAT, OR SITUATION LEADING TO THE USE OF FORCE (Check all that apply) Medical order Court order Passive resistance - refusal to comply Active resistance (verbal threats/physical resistance) Assaultive behavior toward law enforcement or custody personnel Assaultive behavior with threat of serious bodily injury/death toward law enforcement or custody personnel Assaultive behavior toward others High risk
Other:
3. Describe the threat, perceived threat, or situation as reported by personnel: The informant (Witness) stated the suspect usually carried a box cutter. The suspect was seen by the deputies sitting inside the garage reaching into a backpack. They gave verbal commands to exit the garage, stop reaching into the backpack, and to show his hands. The suspect refused and continued reaching into the back pack. Deputy removed his taser and advised the suspect he would be tased if he did not comply with their orders. The suspect removed his hands from the backpack but clenched his fists and tensed his body not allowing himself to be handcuffed. The suspect pulled his hands away and swung his fist at Deputy face, then began swinging wildly at Deputies and Gutierrez, striking Deputy Gutierrez on his head and punching Deputy Rothrock four to six times in the chest.
4. RESPONSE BY PERSONNEL TO SUSPECT'S ACTIONS (Check all that apply)
☐ Chemical Agent ☐ Impact Weapon (Baton/Sap) ☐ Pepperball ☐ Stunbag/Arwen/40MM ✔ Personal Weapon(s) ✔ Carotid Restraint ✔ CEW/Taser Darts ✔ CEW/Taser Drive Stun ✔ Control Techniques ☐ K-9 ✔ Takedown/Team Takedown ✔ Other: Restraint Device (handcuffs)
5. Describe the type and amount of force applied and by whom:
Deputy Gutierrez: Control Holds (Control Technique), Take down, Personal Weapons (Hands) Deputy Campos: Control Holds (Control Technique), Personal Weapons (hands), Restraint Device (handcuffs) Deputy Rothrock: Control Holds (Control Technique), Personal Weapons (Hands), Taser, Restraint Device (handcuffs) Deputy Control Holds (Control Technique), Taser
6. Was force used on a suspect in mechanical restraints? (If yes, check appropriate type.)
✓ No

Page 8 of 15

☐ Safety Chair ☐ Fixed Object	Other:
	VIDEOS, PHOTOS, & OTHER RELEVANT MATERIALS
VIDEO FOOTAGE 7. Was the incident of No	☐ Body Cam (Department) ☐ Body Cam (Personal) ☐ Social Media ☐ Surveillance ☐ Department Handheld ☐ Dash Cam ☐ Media ☐ Other:
PHOTOGRAPHY	
9. Was the incident c No Yes. If so, by: Bystander 10. Photos obtained?	aptured via photographs? Body Cam (Department) Body Cam (Personal) Social Media Surveillance Department Handheld Dash Cam Media Other:
☐ Yes (If yes, whe☐ No (If no, expla☐ N/A	ere stored?)
11. Was the scene ca ✓ Yes ✓ Vid ☐ No (If no, expla	-
OTHER RELEVANT 12. Were any other re ☐ Yes (If yes, des ☑ No	elevant materials/evidence identified?
13. Was it collected? ☐ Yes ☐ No (If no, expla ☑ N/A	in)

FORCE DE-ESCALATION, MITIGATION and PREVENTION EFFORTS
14. NON-FORCE/PRE-FORCE RESPONSE BY PERSONNEL TO SUSPECT ACTIONS Suspect detained at gunpoint Supervisor requested Baton unholstered CEW/Taser warning advisement Supervisor present OC Spray unholstered CEW/Taser "Arc" Back-up requested Verbal persuasion Other: Verbal commands
15. De-escalation Efforts (Describe): All the present deputies at one time or another attempted to de-escalate the situation by using numerous verbal commands, requesting additional units, and giving a taser warning.
 16. Was there a reassessment during the application of force to determine if the type and amount of force was having the desired effect on the suspect's actions? ✓ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
17. Did personnel de-escalate force as resistance decreased? ☑ Yes □ No □ N/A
REPORTED USE OF FORCE BY INVOLVED and WITNESS EMPLOYEE(S) 18. Were force reporting procedures adhered to? ✓ Yes ☐ No (If no, explain)
19. Did all involved and witnessing employees complete a report, or memo? ✓ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ No (If no, explain)
20. Was the video admonishment given prior to viewing any force incident video? ☐ Yes ☐ N/A - video not reviewed ☐ No (If no, explain)

Page 10 of 15

 21. Did all involved employees and witness employees complete a written report prior to viewing any video? Yes No (If no, explain) N/A
22. Were there any inconsistencies, conflicts, or issues in documentation (including video) requiring clarification? Ves (If yes, explain)
23. Did the employee reports adequately and accurately articulate the force used and the reason(s) for using force? ✓ Yes ☐ No (If no, explain)
NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES
24. Were required notifications made to IAB (e.g. met criteria, timely, etc.)? ☑ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ No (If no, explain)
25. Was the IAB Mandatory Notification Form submitted? ✓ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ No (If no, explain)
WITNESS INTERVIEW(S)
26. Did the investigating supervisor respond to the scene? ✓ Yes ☐ No (If no, explain)
27. Did the supervisor canvass for witnesses? ☑ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ No (If no, explain)
28. Statements obtained: Witness Communication of the Communication of t

Page 11 of 15

Witness speaks only Spanish. Her statements were translated from Spanish to English by me. She told me her had broken into her house by breaking a tock off of a door while she was in her garage. He then locked her out of the house. Her eventually came into the garage. She called Witness and asked him to call the police
Two deputies came and were talking to her but she did not understand the conversation as it was in English; however, the conversation was back and forth between the deputies and her At a certain point, they began to fight. Her was fighting back against the deputies and would not let them take control of him. She saw a taser was activated two or three times. A deputy struck her approximately seven times, but she could not see where. She also said the original contact was with two deputies, but eventually there were four.
Additionally, she stated her was tearing the house apart and removing the electrical outlet covers. She said he is not welcome in her house because he destroys things. The suspect did not listen to the commands given by the deputies and they began to roll around punching each other. Her was fighting with the deputies and was actively resisting and would not allow them to handcuff him.
Witness
Witness said he called the Sheriff's Department because the suspect locked himself inside the house. He could see his mother and grandmother were nervous. He was eventually able to see the suspect removing electrical outlet covers inside the house. He said the suspect does not live at the home and is not supposed to be there. They have kicked the suspect out of the house, but he keeps coming back.
The deputies came and spoke to him. Deputies told him to stay were he was, but he walked over when he heard his mother yelling. He saw the deputies were battling with the suspect. I asked him what he meant by "battling" and if he heard the deputies giving commands to the suspect. He said he heard the deputies telling the suspect to put his hands behind his back. He believed the suspect was resisting them.
I asked if he heard the suspect saying anything back to the deputies. He said he heard the suspect swearing and added he was resisting. He said he did not see anything else and the interview was concluded.
POST FORCE SUSPECT INTERVIEW(S)
29. Were personnel involved in the use of force present during the suspect interview?
☐ Yes (If yes, explain)
30. Was there a complaint regarding the force used? [7] No
☐ Yes
W/C Notified:
SCR#
31. Was the suspect interviewed by the supervising sergeant?
The suspect stated he did not come in contact with any deputy and nothing took place.

Page 12 of 15

MEDICAL REVIEW
32. Did the investigating supervisor examine the suspect(s) for injuries?
✓ Yes
□ N/A
☐ No (If no, explain)
33. Did the suspect(s) complain of pain?
☐ Yes
□ N/A
✓ No
34. Was the suspect(s) injured as a result of the use of force?
□ No
✓ Yes (If yes, describe)
Suspect received numerous contusions on his face, bridge of his nose, shoulder, back, and three puncture wounds to his upper torso.
35. Did the suspect(s) allege any additional injuries?
✓ No
Yes (Describe the injury and indicate whether or not documentation/medical evaluation supports the description of the injury.)
36. Were the suspect(s) injuries, or alleged injuries, photographed? ☑ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ No (If no, explain)
37. Did the suspect(s) receive a medical evaluation following the incident?
✓ Yes
☐ No (If no, explain)
38. Was medical treatment rendered following the evaluation?
☐ Yes
□ N/A
✓ No (If no, explain)
Fire department personnel (Engine 41) attempted to examine the suspect immediately following the incident; however, he was uncooperative and refused treatment.
39. If the suspect(s) was transported to a medical facility or required further medical evaluation/treatment, was a diagnosis received?
✓ Yes
□ N/A

Page 13 of 15

URN # 019-18866-2131-058

☐ No (If no, explain) Suspect was taken to the Martin Luther King Jr. Community Hospital emergency room. Doctor Christopher Major examined and evaluated the suspect; however, the suspect remained uncooperative and refused to be treated. Doctor Major provided a discharge diagnosis of blunt trauma of the neck, head trauma, multiple trauma, and a nasal fracture. He cleared the suspect for booking.
#0. Was the suspect admitted to the hospital? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Non-force related admission
 1. Were all of the suspect's injuries or alleged injuries documented by medical personnel during the medical evaluation? Yes N/A No (If no, explain) Suspect was uncooperative with medical staff, and the only documentation done was part of a visual primary examination. The suspect refused testing to determine the extent of the injuries.
 42. After consulting with medical personnel, did the injuries (including discomfort from chemical agents) sustained be the suspect(s) appear to be consistent with the reported force? ✓ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ No (If no, explain)
 3. Did the suspect(s) have any known or self-identified pre-existing injuries or conditions? ☐ No ☑ Unknown ☐ Yes (If yes, describe and indicate if documentation exist)
4. Were the injuries to involved employees consistent with the reported force? ☑ Yes ☐ N/A ☐ No (If no, explain)
 If any injuries to the suspect(s) were not believed to be caused by the force, did the force investigation identify the possible or probable cause (accidental/self-inflicted)? No - unable to determine cause N/A Yes (If yes, explain and indicate if documentation exists)

46. Was there an application of the TARP?

Page 14 of 15

☑ No
Yes (If yes, answer 46a and 46b)
46a. Start and end times of the TARP application:
46b. Deputy personnel assigned to remain in close audible and visual observation of the TARP'd suspect and to continuously monitor respiratory status and level of consciousness (Name/Emp. #):
47. Were there any head strikes or head injuries to the suspect(s) during the application of force? ☐ No
☐ Unintentional
☐ Impact weapons
Personal weapons
Contact with hard objects
☑ Intentional
☐ Impact weapons
✓ Personal weapons
Contact with hard objects
TRAINING / TACTICS / EQUIPMENT REVIEW
TRAINING REVIEW
47. List any training and/or tactical concerns, implications, or recommendations.
✓ Investigating Supervisor ☐ Training Supervisor
The application of the taser was in close proximity to the suspect causing the darts to have a minimal spread. The minimal spread of the darts did not affect enough body mass to achieve neuromuscular incapacitation (NMI) and a three point contact procedure should have been applied.
EQUIPMENT ISSUES IDENTIFIED
(Taser, Safety Chair, Hobble, Special Weapons, etc.)
48. If weapons or other equipment were used, were they used properly and did they function as designed?
Yes
□ N/A
✓ No (If no, explain)
During the incident there were several applications of the taser that did not achieve total Neuromuscular
Incapacitation (TMI). It is unknown if the suspect was under the influence of a controlled substance that
contributed to the failure of the taser application.
49. Was the equipment approved by the Department?
✓ Yes
□ N/A
☐ No (If no, explain)
50. Were personnel trained and qualified to use the equipment?
✓ Yes

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force Page 15 of 15

□ N/A □ No (If no, explain)
51. List any equipment recommendations and actions taken.
AFTER ACTION NECESSATION
AFTER ACTION INFORMATION 52. Was an incident debriefing conducted?
 ✓ Yes (Indicate what was discussed, recommendations made, and/or actions taken if any) ☐ No (If no, explain)
During the incident there were several applications of the taser that did not achieve TMI. Proper placement of the darts or three points of contact application was discussed.
53. Corrective action recommended?
☑ No ☐ Yes (If yes, explain)
54. Description of materials/evidence to be considered when determining if incident was objectively reasonable and within policy: The criminal report, supplemental reports and witness statements were considered when determining if the force was objectively reasonable and within policy.
55. OTHER TOPICS / DISCUSSION ITEMS
CASE STATUS
56. Was a case submitted to the District Attorney for filing consideration?
✓ Yes
☐ No (If no, explain)
CASE DISPOSITION
☐ N/A ☐ DA Reject (Reason): ☑ Case Filed:
Case # TA150720 Date Filed: 12/02/2019
Charge(s): Four counts of 69 PC were filed against the suspect.
Case Outcome: The suspect accepted a plea for one count of 69 PC. He was Or Placed on four years of probation and served 106 days in jail. Next Court Date: